Appendix B

Multi-agency Action Plan

RECOMMENDATION	Scope of recommendation	Action to be taken	Lead Agency	Key milestones to enact recommendation	Target date	Progress indicator	Agency response to recommendations	Date of completion and outcome
What is the over-	lal,	How relevant agency will make this recommendation happen? What			From date of	Red Amber		
arching recommendation?	regional, ional	actions need to occur?			publication	Green		
	region				of report –	Uleen		
	Local, regio or national level?				13 October			
	le r				2016.			
Recommendation 1:	Local	Review existing current protocols for	Michael Lozano,	Review existing	Ву		Email dated	Response from
		GP referral to mental health services	Patient Safety &	protocols	31/12/2016		15/11/2016 from	the Board. Form
Any existing			Complaints Lead				Michael Lozano –	has been
protocol that exists		Develop and agree language used for	Norfolk and	Amendments as	Ву		Patient Safety and	amended and
between GPs and		level of immediacy of referral and	Suffolk NHS	required	31/01/2017		Complaints Lead,	updated.
The Trust for		assessment	Foundation Trust				Norfolk and Suffolk	Evaluation of
emergency referrals				Communication to	Ву		NHS Foundation	the
be reviewed and		Ensure clear and effective processes		all parties of new	31/01/2017		Trust.	effectiveness of
clarity		are in place for communicating		protocols			Response: The	the revised
communicated		referrals, level of concern and	Dr Ed Garratt,				Trust discussed this	form <i>diarised</i>
about expectations.		outcomes	Chief	Review	By		recommendation	for June 2017.
			Accountable	effectiveness of	31/03/2017		with the Clinical	11/05/2017
			Officer	new protocols			Commissioning	14/06/2017 –
			Clinical				Group as part of its	Action: To be
			Commissioning				monthly quality	updated at
			Group (CCG)				meetings, held on	

			11	September
			November. Taking	meeting.
			account of the time	18/10/2017
			this event occurred	Update
			and the ongoing	received from
			monitoring of the	Louis regarding
			Trust's	the
			performance it was	effectiveness of
			acknowledged that	the revised
			the current referral	form as follows:
			process has been	Lois Wreathall,
			embedded into	West CCG,
			practice now for an	reported that
			additional two	there have no
			years. In this	further issues or
			, context it was	complaints
			considered	since the
			whether a change	revised form
			of any language	has been
			may increase the	introduced.
			potential risk of	18/10/2017 -
			confusion.	COMPLETED
			Where there are	
			four hour	
			assessments being	
			requested GPs have	
			the option of	
			ringing this through	
			to the Trust's	
			Access and	
			Assessment team.	
			This offers	

	-	1			ГТ	
					opportunity to	
					discuss the	
					elements of the	
					referral and	
					whether	
					emergency (four	
					hours) is the	
					appropriate course	
					of action. GPs also	
					retain the option of	
					sending through by	
					fax, whereupon	
					assessment and	
					telephone contact	
					may also occur.	
					,	
					Taking account of	
					this the action	
					examined was	
					about further	
					communication of	
					the current	
					process. Regular	
					communication	
					updates serve to	
					assist the individual	
					in maintaining the	
					desired practice. To	
					this end the	
					meeting identified	
					a newsletter that is	
					shared with CCGs	
					and GPs to which	
			1			

		I		1
			an entry will be	
			made. The Trust	
			will work with the	
			CCG to complete	
			this over the next	
			two months.	
			Ongoing	
			monitoring of the	
			process- There are	
			a number of ways	
			the system is	
			continually	
			monitored and	
			which awareness of	
			the DHR findings	
			are considered.	
			These include a	
			quality reporting	
			system whereby	
			GPs can register	
			concerns regarding	
			aspects of the	
			Trust's	
			performance and a	
			monthly quality	
			meeting held with	
			the CCG. Both the	
			CCG and Trust are	
			informed of the	
			report's findings.	

			Letter dated
			18/11/2016 from Dr
			Ed Garratt, NHS
			West Suffolk
			Clinical
			Commissioning
			Group (CCG).
			Response: To
			advise that the
			team have
			produced a draft
			report today which
			needs to be ratified
			at a meeting with
			the mental health
			provider on 09
			December 2016,
			but it appears that
			key changes were
			made to the
			protocol and
			pathway in 2014 as
			a direct result of
			this tragedy. The
			protocol and
			pathway have been
			reviewed by our
			safeguarding lead
			and deemed fit for
			purpose.
			As part of the
			investigation we
			discovered that the

						existing forms, although they follow the protocol and pathway, are not as clear as they could be, so we have adjusted the form and will be negotiating these changes with Norfolk and Suffolk Foundation Trust (NSFT). The report will be made available to WSCSP as soon as possible after 9 December, when we will be able to circulate the GP communications, and the revised form. The effectiveness of the revised form will be evaluated in six months' time, and reported back to the Board.	
Recommendation 2:	Local	Review current support services for families experiencing domestic violence	Sue Hadley, Independent Chair	List of support services available	By 01/12/2016	Letter response from LSCB Chair,	February 2017 – Further letter sent to LSCB

That the Local		Local			Sue Hadley dated	Chair, Sue
Safeguarding	Ensure all staff are aware of services	Safeguarding			17/11/2016.	Hadley.
Children's Board	available and have knowledge and	Children's Board	Publication /	Ву	Response: There is	The WSCSP
take steps to ensure	understanding of how to access these	ennaren 5 beara	dissemination of	31/12/2016	a new Domestic	members have
that the range of	services for families and offer these		above list to all	01,12,2010	Abuse Strategy in	requested
support available is	services		relevant		Suffolk and the	details of the
clear to all			organisations		LSCB is supporting	individual/orga
professionals who				Ву	and monitoring	nisation leading
engage in work with	Identify gaps in current provision and			, 31/12/2016	this. The Suffolk	on the Strategy
children and	develop plan of how to address these				Safer and Stronger	and Action Plan
families.	gaps to maximise support to families				Communities	in order to
	and minimise risk to children and		Gaps in service	Ву	Group are	ensure that this
	families		identified	28/02/2017	overseeing this	work is being
					work and the Action	taken forward.
					Plan includes	
			Plan developed to		mapping	14/06/2017 –
			address gaps		commissioning of	No response
					services to develop	received. Action:
					quality and	Follow up
					consistency of	response.
					service. The Action	
					Plan also includes	26/10/2017
					raising awareness	Information
					of Domestic Abuse	provided -
					via a dedicated	COMPLETED
					campaign and	
					associated training	
					across the multi-	
					agency partnership.	
					The Local	
					Safeguarding	
					Children's Board	
					website provides a	

						range of policies, procedures and guidance and the Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub provides a dedicated Professional's helpline to provide guidance and signposting to services.	
Recommendation 3: That the Local Safeguarding Children's Board work in partnership with the County's Education Department,	Local and Regional	Review current protocols for information sharing for court orders Ensure protocols enable information to be shared when required to minimise risk to children Develop a partnership agreement as	Sue Hadley, Independent Chair, Local Safeguarding Children's Board	Current protocols reviewed Clear processes for information sharing in place	By 31/12/2016 By 31/01/2017	Email sent 12 August 2016 by Rebecca Dale, Safeguarding Administrator on behalf of Richard Green, Cafcass National Child Care Policy Manager. Response: The	February 2017 – Letter regarding recommendatio n sent to Adrian Orr, Assistant Director for Education. Response: I do
C&YPS, the Courts and CAFCASS to review current processes in relation to Court Orders so that it properly supports the children and closes any potential safeguarding gaps.		to how information is to be shared and how a lead professional in each organisation could be identified to work with the courts and child/young person to ensure effective and relevant information flow and provide support to the child/young person.		Partnership agreement in place	By 28/02/2017	recommendation is to the LSCB and it is for them to decide whether and how to action it. However, as we previously explained, Cafcass is bound to comply with the Family Procedure Rules	not believe that it is within the sphere of influence of SCC Education and Learning to ensure that Court orders issued in the course of

I		-		
			regarding the	private law
			sharing of	proceedings are
			information, other	routinely shared
			than where this is in	with schools.
			the furtherance of	Currently, the
			child protection.	responsibility
			Schools do not fall	sits with the
			within that	child's parent(s)
			definition, so the	to share a copy
			permission of the	of any such
			court would be	Court order
			required.	with the school
			Letter response	where
			from LSCB Chair	appropriate. As
			dated 17/11/2016.	set out in the
			Response: It was	response from
			discussed that in this	' Cafcass, and
			case, the schools	included in the
			knew there were	DHR overview
			private court	report, it would
			proceedings but did	require the
			not have a copy of	permission of
			the court order.	the Court to
				enable Cafcass
			Response: This is	to share such
			not the role of LSCB	information
			but a role for	with schools. As
			education and CYPS.	such, I believe
			Education will	that this
			consider any further	

				recence and at! -
			guidance or support	recommendatio
			where there are	n reflects a
			acrimonious private	wider issue in
			law proceedings.	relation to
			This is an Action for	Court orders
			Adrian Orr, Assistant	issued through
			Director for	private law
			Education.	proceedings in
				relation to the
				exercise of
				parental
				responsibility or
				a child's care or
				upbringing, and
				is not
				necessarily one
				that can be
				resolved
				through a local
				area
				partnership
				agreement, as
				such
				information can
				only be shared
				, within the law.
				However, we
				recognise the

[1				
					importance of
					schools being in
					receipt of all
					pertinent
					information in
					relation to
					pupils on their
					roll in order
					that they may
					fulfil their
					duties to
					safeguard and
					promote their
					welfare.
					1
					l understand
					that in
					circumstances
					where CYPS is
					asked to
					complete a s7
					(Children Act
					1989) report in
					respect of a
					child subject to
					private law
					proceedings
					and where the
					child/family is

				already known
				to CYPS
				services, the
				school would be
				made aware
				that CYPS was
				being asked to
				complete that
				report and
				would,
				therefore, be
				aware that
				private law
				proceedings
				were in train.
				The
				Department for
				Education (DfE)
				issued guidance
				to schools in
				January 2016
				'Understanding
				and dealing
				with issues
				relation to
				parental
				responsibility'
				which makes it

				clear that
				parents should
				'ensure that
				schools are
				provided with a
				copy of the
				most recent
				Court order in
				place, so that
				the school's
				duties in
				respect of child
				safeguarding
				are supported.'
				Education and
				Learning issues
				a weekly
				electronic
				communication
				to schools and
				settings in
				Suffolk, Suffolk
				Headlines,
				containing
				important news
				and updates,
				including
				safeguarding

				matters. We
				will ensure that
				the DfE
				guidance
				relating to
				parental
				responsibility
				and Court
				orders is
				signposted to
				schools through
				this
				communication
				channel and
				remind schools
				of the need to
				question
				parents as to
				whether any
				court orders are
				in place when a
				child or young
				person is placed
				on their school
				roll and as
				appropriate
				thereafter.
				Additionally,
				officers within

				our Resolution
				team and
				Suffolk Legal
				are available to
				provide advice
				and guidance to
				schools on
				matters relating
				to parental
				responsibility.
				An information
				sharing
				agreement has
				been drawn up
				between SCC
				and schools
				with the
				purpose of
				facilitating the
				exchange of
				information
				between CYPS
				and the
				Designated
				Safeguarding
				Leads (DSL) for
				schools within
				Suffolk in

				relation to
				incidents of
				domestic
				violence or
				abuse reported
				to Suffolk
				Constabulary
				where there are
				school aged children within
				the family. The
				objective is to
				alert
				professionals
				within schools
				to incidents
				which can be
				detrimental to a
				child's overall
				welfare which
				may in turn
				contribute to
				greater
				safeguarding
				concerns.
				Schools are
				strongly
				recommended

	1				1		1
							to sign up to
							this agreement.
							26/10/2017 -
							COMPLETED
Recommendation 4:	Local	Review current protocols for	Sue Hadley,	Current protocols	Ву	Letter response	February 2017 –
That the Local		recording all unexplained injuries as	Independent	reviewed	31/12/2016	from LSCB Chair	Letter regarding
Safeguarding		noted by schools.	Chair			dated 17/11/2016.	recommendatio
Children's Board		Amend and update the above	Local			Response: The	n sent to Adrian
work with the local		aforementioned protocols as required	Safeguarding	Protocols updated		current protocol	Orr, Assistant
Education Authority			Children's Board			has been reviewed	Director for
to review the policy		Ensure all schools are made aware of				and the current	Education.
about recording of		any changes and their role in		Information		guidance is clear	
incidents such as		recording and reporting any		communicated to		and adequate. It is	Response:
this within its		unexplained injuries and that this is		all Suffolk schools		felt that the school	The DfE has
schools with a view		disseminated to all staff		with clear		acted	issued statutory
to ensuring all				guidance on		appropriately.	guidance to all
unexplained injuries		Ensure all staff have suitable level of		informing staff		Response: Clear	schools,
are recorded and		safeguarding training and awareness				and appropriate	'Keeping
what steps are		of when and how to report any		All staff have up to		guidance is given to	Children Safe in
taken to seek		safeguarding concerns		date safeguarding		schools detailing	Education'
explanation.				training		how to record all	which makes it
						unexplained	clear that all
						injuries. Schools are	staff members
						well informed of	within school
						the process to refer	should be
						on concerns and	aware of
						where to access	systems within
						additional advice.	their school or
						Schools are	college which
						monitored through	support
						S175/S157 audits	safeguarding

	I			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				and that these
			Safeguarding leads.	should be
			There is a robust	explained to
			overview system to	them as part of
				staff induction.
			safeguarding	The guidance
				makes it clear
			_	that all staff
			safeguarding	should receive
			concerns.	appropriate
				safeguarding
				and child
				protection
				training which is
				regularly
				updated. The
				guidance
				further sets out
				an expectation
				in the section
				headed 'Record
				keeping' that
				'All concerns,
				discussions and
				decisions made
				and the reasons
				for those
				decisions
				should be
				recorded in
				writing. If in
				doubt about
				recording

				requirements
				staff should
				discuss this with
				the designated
				safeguarding
				lead.'
				This statutory
				guidance is
				shared with
				school staff
				through
				safeguarding
				training and
				regular
				safeguarding
				updates
				communicated
				through Suffolk
				Headlines.
				As referenced
				by Sue Hadley
				in her response,
				schools'
				compliance
				with
				safeguarding
				arrangements is
				monitored by
				SCC annually
				through
				S175/S157
				audits.

	1		 1	
				Whilst current
				protocols do not
				specifically
				reference the
				recording of
				'any
				unexplained
				injuries' this is
				implicit in the
				clear
				requirement to
				record all
				concerns,
				discussions and
				decisions. In line
				with
				recommendatio
				n 4, I will ensure
				that a specific
				communication
				about the need
				to record any
				unexplained
				injuries is
				disseminated to
				schools with
				immediate
				effect.
				14/06/2017 –
				Action: Identify
				who monitors
				audits
	1			uuuits

					S175/S157
					referred to in
					the response.
Recommendation 5:	Review current quality assurance	Richard Green,		Email sent 12 August	14/06/2017 -
That CAFCASS	process within CAFCASS	Cafcass' National		2016 by Rebecca	COMPLETED
reviews its working		Child Care Policy		Dale, Safeguarding	
practice to ensure	Amend processes if necessary to	Manager		Administrator on	
that all staff	ensure that there is sufficient senior			behalf of Richard	
completing	level quality assurance of the work			Green, Cafcass	
assessments have	undertaken			National Child Care	
adequate levels of	France all staff have up to date			Policy Manager.	
quality assurance.	Ensure all staff have up to date safeguarding training and awareness			Response: This	
	of when to report any concerns			seems an odd	
	or when to report any concerns			recommendation in	
				light of one of the	
				findings of the	
				report (page 62) is	
				that Cafcass has a	
				fit-for-purpose	
				system of quality	
				assurance. However,	
				(and more	
				pertinently) the	
				mechanisms by	
				which Cafcass	
				quality assures have	
				been reviewed	
				several times since	
				these deaths	
				occurred nearly two	
				years ago. In	

February this year we produced an we produced an updated Quality Assurance and Impact Framework. Establishing that work is of the required standard is undertaken by a range of different mechanisms including: performance and learning reviews; situational supervision; national audits of safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip sampling by senior managers etc. We are not persuaded currently that a further formal reviews; dip	T			
Image: Control of the second secon				February this year
Assurance and impact Framework. Establishing that work is of the required standard is uncluding: range of different mechanisms including: performance and learning reviews; situational supervision; national audits of safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality area quality thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip safeguardits area quality thematic audits; Area Quality thematic audits; Area Quality thematic audits; Area Quality thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip				
Impact Framework. Establishing that work is of the required standard is undertaken by a range of different mechanisms including: performance and learning reviews; situational supervision; national audits of safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dij safeguarding spartice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dij safeguarding spartice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dij sampling by senior managers etc. We are not persuaded currently that a further formal				
Image: Section of Sectio				
work is of the required standard is undertaken by a range of different mechanisms including: performance and learning reviews; situational supervision; national audits of safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip sampling by senior managers etc. We are not persuaded currently that a further formal				Impact Framework.
Image: standard is undertaken by a range of different mechanisms range of different mechanisms including: performance and learning reviews; situational supervision; national audits of safeguarding practice (which have found sustained improvement); thematic audits; Area Quality Reviews; dip sampling by senior managers etc. We are not persuaded currently that a improvement improve				Establishing that
Image:				work is of the
Image:				required standard is
Image: Sector				undertaken by a
Image:				range of different
Image: Section of the section of th				mechanisms
Image: Sector				including:
Image: Situational Supervision; national audits of safeguarding Image: Situational Situation Situation Image: Situation Situation Situation Situation Situation Image: Situation Situation Situation Situation Situation Situation Situation Image: Situation Situa				performance and
Image: sector				learning reviews;
Image: set in the set in				situational
Image: Section of the section of th				supervision; national
Image: Section of Sectio				audits of
Image: Section of Sectio				safeguarding
Image: Section of the sec				practice (which have
Image: Section of the section of th				found sustained
Image: sector				improvement);
Image: Section of the section of th				thematic audits;
Image: Second State Sampling by senior Image: Second State Managers etc. We Image: Second State Image: Second State				Area Quality
Image: Set Comparison Image: Set Comparison Image: Set Comparison Image: Set Comparison <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Reviews; dip</td>				Reviews; dip
Image: Set Comparison Image: Set Comparison Image: Set Comparison Image: Set Comparison <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>sampling by senior</td>				sampling by senior
are not persuaded currently that a further formal				
currently that a further formal				
further formal				

					Can we suggest therefore that the recommendation is removed?	
Recommendation 6: That the Home Office consider adding CAFCASS as a statutory body within the meaning of the Act.	National	For the Home Office to review role of statutory bodies within the Act and whether CAFCASS should be an addition	Christian Papalleontiou, Chair of the DHR Quality Assurance Panel Home Office		30/11/2016 Letter sent to Mr Christian Papalleontiou, Chair of the DHR Quality Assurance Panel at the Home Office. Although the Lead Agency for Recommendation 6 is the Home Office, a letter from LSCB Chair dated 17/11/2016 has included a response to this Recommendation. <i>Response:</i> Section 13 of the Children Act 2004 sets out those organisations who must be included on LSCB membership as a statutory partner.	13/01/2017 – Response received from Chair of the Home Office DHR Quality Assurance Panel – Christian Papaleontiou. The Home Office have discussed CAFCASS involvement and in relation to DHRs, CAFCASS seeks the court's permission for disclosure on a case by case basis. The Home Office are keen to ensure that the resources CAFCAS have available are primarily

	r					
					Cafcass are	invested in
					included on this	Serious Case
					Statutory list.	Reviews.
					Although this is a	CAFCASS will
					Cafcass	not to be
					recommendation,	included as a
					on reading the DHR	statutory body
					and considering the	within the
					current Serious	meaning of the
					Case Review	Act for DHRs,
					undertaken by the	although the
					LSCB, a question	Home Office
					has arisen to be put	will keep this
					to Cafcass. 'When	under review.
					an immediate	
					disclosure is made	14/06/2017 -
					and/or immediate	COMPLETED
					Child Protection	
					concerns are	
					identified, is it clear	
					to all Cafcass staff	
					that in addition to	
					completing their	
					assessment as	
					agreed in the LSCB	
					should be made to	
					the Local Authority,	
					CYPS?'	
Recommendation 7:	Regional	Identify and gain agreement of key	**Chair of	Ву	Letter sent	06/06/2017
That a clear County-		organisation required to develop a	Community	31/01/2017	14/12/2016 to	Contacted DHR
wide partnership		county wide strategic leadership	Safety		Chair of Suffolk	Author GG for
governance		approach	Partnership to		Health and	clarification on
structure be			co-ordinate with			Action 4

established for the	Dovelon a governance arrangement	Police and Crime	Wellbeing Board,	regarding clear
	Develop a governance arrangement		u	terms of
strategic leadership of domestic abuse	for strategic leadership for domestic abuse across Suffolk	Commissioner	Tony Goldson.	reference for
	abuse across suffork	** Collouring the		
within Suffolk	International terrate meters a based	** Following the	Response:	strategic roles.
	Identify leads for domestic abuse	WSCSP meeting	20/01/2017 Lattar	GG advised that
	within each organisation	on 15 November	28/01/2017 Letter	since the action
		2016, WSCSP	received from Cllr	plan was
	Develop clear terms of reference for	members agreed	Tony Goldson,	produced at the
	strategic roles to enable each	that the Lead	Chair Health and	time of writing
	organisation to understand their role	Agency for this	Wellbeing Board	there was no
	and function within the county in	Recommendatio	providing an	clear
	preventing and reducing harm caused	n is incorrect		governance for
	by domestic abuse.	and should be	update on progress	multi-agency
		amended to the	in relation to each	strategic
		Health and	of the actions listed	development of
		Wellbeing Board	in the DHR action	DA across the
		being the Lead	plan and, where we	county. Part
		Agency.	feel more clarity is	rested with the
			needed in order for	police, part with
		lan Gallin, Chair		the police and
		of Suffolk Health	us to accurately	crime
		and Wellbeing	respond.	commissioner,
		Board		part with the
			Identify and gain	local authority.
			agreement of key	When GG asked
			organisations	what was the
			(Action 1)	governance for
			Response:	DA across the
			Agreement made	county no-one
			-	could say.
			by all HWB	However, since
			members in	then this work
			September 2016	is being

[]					
				for them to take	progressed
				the strategic	County Wide
				responsibility for	and a Domestic Abuse Interim
				DA in Suffolk and	Report has been
				for the SSCG to be	produced.
				responsible for the	produced.
				implementation	14/06/2017 -
				following the	COMPLETED
				Suffolk Domestic	
				Abuse Interim	
				Review. SDAP will	
				be the	
				consultative/co-	
				design partnership.	
				De alexa	
				Develop a	
				governance	
				arrangement	
				(Action 2).	
				Response: As	
				above.	
				Identify leads for	
				DA within each	
				organisation	
				(Action 3)	
				Response: The	
				organisations/servi	
				ces which form the	
				statutory	

			membership of the	
			HWB all have	
			specific DA leads or	
			lead officers with	
			responsibility for	
			safeguarding,	
			which includes DA.	
			Dovelop cloor	
			Develop clear	
			terms of reference	
			for strategic roles	
			(Action 4).	
			Response: I am not	
			clear which	
			strategic roles you	
			are relating to,	
			however, the HWB	
			and the SSCG all	
			have terms of	
			reference which	
			can be made	
			available to you.	